### SLOVER & LOFTUS

ATTORNKYS AT LAW

1321 SEVENTEENTH STREET, N W WASHINGTON, D C 20036-3003

> TELEPHONE (202) 347-7170

> FAX (202) 347-3619

WRITER'S E-MAIL

abk@sloverandloftus com

WASHINGTON, D C 200

DOVALD O AVERY

WILLIAM L STOVER

C MICHAEL LOPTUS JOHN II LE SEUR

HOBERT D BOSENBERG

CHHISTOPHER A MILLS FRANK J PERGOLIZZI

ANDREW B KOLFSAR III

STEPHANIE M PISANFLIT JOSHUA M HOPPMAN

KELVIN 1 DOWD

PRIBE A PROHI

March 27, 2008

### BY E-FILING

The Honorable Anne K. Quinlan Acting Secretary Surface Transportation Board 395 E Street, S.W Washington, D.C. 20423-0001

221937

Re. Docket No. 42104, Entergy Arkansas, Inc. and Entergy Services, Inc. v Union Pacific R R and Missouri & Northern Arkansas R R Co., Inc.; 1998 Finance Docket No. 32187, Missouri & Northern Arkansas Railroad – 2019 Lease, Acquisition and Operation Exemption – Missouri Pacific R R and Builington Northern R R

Dear Ms. Quinlan-

Enclosed for filing in the above-referenced matter please find the parties' report required by 49 C F R § 1111 10.

I hank you for your attention to this matter

Sincerely,

Andrew B Kolesar III
An Attorney for Entergy Arkansas, Inc
and Entergy Services, Inc

DD. B. Koleon III

Enclosure

# BEFORE THE SURFACE TRANSPORTATION BOARD

ENTERGY ARKANSAS, INC. and ENTERGY SERVICES, INC., Complainants v.	) ) ) ) ) ) Docket No. 42104
UNION PACIFIC RAILROAD COMPANY and MISSOURI & NORTHERN ARKANSAS RAILROAD COMPANY, INC., Defendants.	) ) ) )
MISSOURI & NORTHERN ARKANSAS R.R. – LEASE, ACQUISITION AND OPERATION EXEMPTION – MISSOURI PACIFIC R.R. and BURLINGTON NORTHERN R.R.	938 22  938 ) Finance Docket No. 32187

#### REPORT AND PROPOSED PROCEDURAL SCHEDULE

Pursuant to 49 C F R. § 1111 10(a), the undersigned parties hereby report that, prior to the Board's decision served March 27, 2007 establishing a procedural schedule for this matter, they had conferred and agreed upon the following schedule

End of Discovery	May 30, 2008
I:ntergy's Opening Evidence and Argument	July 1, 2008
Defendants' Reply Evidence and Argument	August 12, 2008
Entergy's Rebuttal Evidence and Argument	September 22, 2008
Briefs	October 22, 2008

Entergy asks the Board to adopt the parties' agreed-upon schedule in lieu of the schedule developed by the Board Although Missouri & Northern Arkansas Railroad Company and Union Pacific Railroad Company do not object to the Board's schedule, under the circumstances, they do not object to the Board's adoption of the proposed schedule that was previously agreed upon by the parties

Respectfully submitted,

By C Michael Loftus Andrew B Kolesar III all B Klesser E

Slover & Loftus 1224 Seventeenth Street, N.W.

Washington, D C 20036 (202) 347-7170

Attorneys for Entergy Arkansas, Inc. and Entergy Services, Inc.

Linda J. Morgan J. J. Whyan & ABK
Michael L. Rosenthal Michael J. Rosetty ABK

Covington & Builing LLP

1201 Pennsylvania Avenue, N W Washington, D C 20004-2401

(202) 662-6000

Attorneys for Union Pacific Railroad Company

Louis E Gitomer José & Fitme & ACK

600 Baltimore Avenue

Suite 301

Towson, MD 21204

(202) 466-6532

Attorney for Missouri & Northern Arkansas Railroad Company, Inc.

Dated. March 27, 2008

## **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that this 27th day of March. 2008, I have caused copies of the foregoing to be served via first class mail, postage prepaid, upon the parties of record to this proceeding

Andrew B Kolesar III